PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: KELLY A GARDNER

SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA INC	The state of the s					
SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA, INC., 5050 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY (ATL	.5.517)					
LAWRENCEVILLE, GEORGIA 30044	WRITTEN OPINION					
	(PCT Rule 66)					
	(2.07 1.11.0.00)					
1						
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 23 OCT 2001					
Applicant's or agent's file reference	REPLY DUE within TWO months					
F-6351-PC	from the above date of mailing					
International application No. Intern	national filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/US01/02490 25	JANUARY 2001 28 JANUARY 2000					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or bot	h national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): H04N 5/445 and US Cl.: 725/44						
Applicant						
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.,						
1. This written opinion is the first	(first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.					
2. This opinion contains indications relating	to the following items:					
I X Basis of the opinion						
II Priority						
_ ·						
III Non-establishment of opinio	n with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention						
V X Reasoned statement under R citations and explanations s	ule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; apporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the intern	ational application					
VIII Certain observations on the	VIII Certain observations on the international application					
5. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to	this opinion.					
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.6(4).						
How? By submitting a written re For the form and the langu	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.5. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.5 and 66.5.					
For the examiner's obligati	For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.					
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.						
4. The final date by which the international preliminary						
examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 28 MAY 2002						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	Authorized officer					
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCF	CHRISTOPHER SRANFIG. In Zonan					

Telephone No. (708) 805/4755 Facsimile No. (703) 805-3230

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US01/02490

. В	asis of the opinio	on .							
1. Wit	h regard to the elem	ents of the international ap	pplication:*						
x	the international	application as origina	illy filed						
x	the description:								
Λ.	pages	1-8		, as originally filed					
	pages	NONE		, filed with the demand					
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of						
E27	the claims:		•						
X		9-11		, as originally filed					
		NONE	, as amended (together with a						
		NONE		, filed with the demand					
		NONE , fi	iled with the letter of						
_									
X	the drawings:								
	Pages	1-3 NONE		, as originally filed					
	Puges			, filed with the demand					
	pages	TORE	, filed with the letter of						
[X]	the sequence list	ing part of the descripti	ion:						
لثنا		NONE		, as originally filed					
		NONE		, filed with the demand					
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of						
the	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filled, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and								
	or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:								
	contained in the	international application	on in printed form.						
$\overline{\Box}$			plication in computer readable form.						
H		uently to this Authority							
님	-		•						
\perp	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
Ш	The statement that international appl	it the subsequently furni ication as filed has been	ished written sequence listing does not g n furnished.	o beyond the disclosure in the					
	The statement that been furnished.	the information recorded	d in computer readable form is identical to	the writen sequence listing has					
x	The amendments	s have resulted in the c	cancellation of:						
	X the descrip	tion, pages NONE	3						
		Nos. NONE							
		gs, sheets/fig NONE							
· [-				and and the state of the state					
, L			f) the amendments had not been made, sinc in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	e they have been considered to go					
	acement sheets which is opinion as "origin		he receiving Office in response to an invitation	n under Article 14 are referred to					

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US01/02490

citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	statement							
	Novelty (N)	Claims	5,7	YES				
		Claims	1-4,6,8-11	NO				
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES				
	11.014.0 DOP (15)	Claims	1-11	No				
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES				
		Claims	NONE	NO				
	Claims 1-4 and 6 lack novelty under PCT Article 35(8) as being anticipated by Yuen (WO 977-34414). Considering claim 1, Yuen discloses a method responsive to user input for displaying program information associated with a reference point established within a display of the program information, the method comprising: a) establishing the reference point (guide mode) based on at least on parameter (time) associated with the display of the program information (see page 9, lines 1-20, page 4, lines 36-37, page 6, lines 3-18). b) causing a portion of the program information to be displayed (figures 3-3). c) receiving a user input requesting display of the program information (page 8, lines 7-11), d) cassing the display of the program information associated with the reference point (figures 3-3). Claim s is met by the ability to scan or "browset through the program listing in area 46 (pages 8-9). Claim 4 is met by the program guide listing that is based on the current time.							
	with a reference point established within a di a) establishing the reference point (guide mod	splay of the page 2, lines number associates 1-20 and ion to be displicated the program	1-20 and page 6, lines 5-12) based on current time (pagiated with a program viewed immediately prior to ent l page 6, lines 13-28); ayed (figures 2-3); information (page 8, lines 7-11);	ge 4, lines				

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;